

The Symmetric Identity: $\mathbb{I}^{\text{sym}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})$

The derivative of a second order tensor with itself is the fourth order identity tensor \mathbb{I} . In component form this reads, for a tensor \mathbf{A} with components A_{ij} , as

$$\mathbb{I}_{ijkl} = \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial A_{kl}} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}; \quad (1)$$

i.e. if $i = k$ and $j = l$ then the result is unity and if one of the equalities is violated, then the result is zero. So, for example, $\partial A_{12}/\partial A_{12} = 1$ and $\partial A_{12}/\partial A_{21} = 0$ etc.

When the tensor $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{S}$ (the set of symmetric tensors), then we come to the result that the identity is no longer given by (1). Rather it is given component-wise by the expression in the header. This then returns the somewhat non-intuitive result that $\partial A_{12}/\partial A_{12} = \mathbb{I}_{1212}^{\text{sym}} = 1/2$ (not unity!) even though $\partial A_{11}/\partial A_{11} = \mathbb{I}_{1111}^{\text{sym}} = 1$. How can this be?

Consider a function $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Its derivative¹ is a linear mapping $Df : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As such we can represent it by a tensor:

$$\mathbf{B} : \mathbf{C} = Df[\mathbf{C}]. \quad (2)$$

The tensor \mathbf{B} characterizing the derivative of $f(\cdot)$ in the (symmetric) direction \mathbf{C} is non-unique since one can add an arbitrary skew-symmetric tensor to \mathbf{B} without changing the result. The symmetric part of \mathbf{B} , viz. $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^T)$, is however unique. This is the tensor which we *choose* to use to represent the derivative Df . We do this for two reasons: (1) it is unique, thus there is no ambiguity, and (2) it will always produce the correct result for the rate of change of $f(\cdot)$ in a *symmetric* direction. Note it only makes sense to discuss rates of change of $f(\cdot)$ in symmetric directions, since $f(\cdot)$ is only defined over the space of symmetric tensors.

Let us now apply this result to the component function $f(\mathbf{A}) = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j) : \mathbf{A} = A_{ij}$. To compute the derivative of $f(\cdot)$ we apply the directional

¹Assuming it exists

derivative formula

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=0} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j) : (\mathbf{A} + \alpha \mathbf{H}) = Df[\mathbf{H}], \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{S}$. Taking the derivative with respect to α , setting α to zero, leads to:

$$(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j) : \mathbf{H} = Df[\mathbf{H}], \quad (4)$$

which allows us to identify *an* expression for \mathbf{B} as $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j$. The unique part of \mathbf{B} which provides the derivative information is the symmetric part; viz. $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)$. This expression gives us the derivative $\partial A_{ij}/\partial \mathbf{A}$. If we now compute its components we get

$$\frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial A_{kl}} = \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} : (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \otimes \hat{\mathbf{e}}_l) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}). \quad (5)$$

Thus we arrive at the desired expression – an expression for the fourth order identity tensor over the space of symmetric tensors. Observe that this expression yields the results $\partial A_{11}/\partial A_{11} = \mathbb{I}_{1111}^{\text{sym}} = 1$, $\partial A_{12}/\partial A_{12} = \mathbb{I}_{1212}^{\text{sym}} = 1/2$, as well as $\partial A_{12}/\partial A_{21} = \mathbb{I}_{1221}^{\text{sym}} = 1/2$.